AIP Mutation in Pituitary Adenomas in the 18th Century and Today


SUMMARY

Gigantism results when a growth hormone–secreting pituitary adenoma is present before epiphyseal fusion. In 1909, when Harvey Cushing examined the skeleton of an Irish patient who lived from 1761 to 1783, he noted an enlarged pituitary fossa. We extracted DNA from the patient’s teeth and identified a germline mutation in the aryl hydrocarbon–interacting protein gene (AIP). Four contemporary Northern Irish families who presented with gigantism, acromegaly, or prolactinoma have the same mutation and haplotype associated with the mutated gene. Using coalescent theory, we infer that these persons share a common ancestor who lived about 57 to 66 generations earlier.
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of acromegaly or prolactinoma; some of these families have a mutation in the gene encoding the aryl hydrocarbon–interacting protein (AIP), which predisposes them to pituitary adenoma formation.6–13 In addition, some patients with childhood-onset pituitary adenoma and no apparent family history have been shown to have germline AIP mutations.14,15 In our cohort of 140 families with familial isolated pituitary adenoma,10,13 we identified four families from Northern Ireland with the same AIP mutation (c.910C→T, p.R304X) (Fig. 1A, 1B, and 1C), which is known to be a mutational hot spot.5,7,10,18 Functional cell-proliferation and protein–protein binding assays have shown that this mutation results in a truncated, dysfunctional protein.10 In view of the geographic clustering of the c.910C→T mutation, we explored the possibility that an 18th-century giant from Northern Ireland (the index patient), whose skeleton is preserved, and the four Northern Irish families we identified inherited the same mutation from a common ancestor.

**PATIENTS**

The index patient was born in Northern Ireland in 1761. As a child he grew rapidly, and in his late teens he was featured in street shows in Ireland. At the age of 19 years, he traveled to London to exhibit himself in similar settings. A contemporary etching shows him in the company of twin brothers, also giants, who were born in a neighboring village (12 km away) and who were said to be related to him3 (Fig. 1D). His general health slowly deteriorated, and he died at 22 years of age. Subsequently his skeleton (231 cm [approximately 7 ft, 7 in.] in height) was acquired by the surgeon John Hunter and was eventually deposited in the Hunterian Museum in London. In 1909, Harvey Cushing, a neurosurgeon from Boston, together with Sir Arthur Keith, the curator of the Hunterian Museum, examined the skeleton and opened the skull. They reported that the patient had an enlarged pituitary fossa1 (Fig. 1E) and concluded that this case of gigantism was most likely due to a pituitary adenoma.19 Radiographic images of the wrist bones, obtained in 1980, showed that the epiphyses were not fused at the time of the patient’s death, at which point his bone age was estimated to be only about 17 years, according to current criteria.2

The family trees of the affected members of the four families of Northern Irish origin are shown in Figure 2; their case histories are provided in the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

Patients and family members gave written informed consent for the study, which was approved by the relevant local ethics committees. The board of directors of the Hunterian Museum gave us permission to examine teeth from the 18th-century index patient.

**METHODS**

Data on serum growth hormone levels for the four families were converted to current units (micrograms per liter) with the use of appropriate conversion factors related to the growth
hormone standards applied at the time (World Health Organization International Reference Preparations [IRP] 66/217 and 80/505). DNA from peripheral-blood leukocytes was extracted with the use of a commercial kit (Nucleon BACC Genomic DNA Extraction Kit, GE Healthcare). DNA was extracted from the dental samples from the index patient in a separate laboratory (Mainz, Germany) with the use of previously described methods. The sequencing primers were as follows: for leukocyte DNA, forward primer GTGGCATCCTCAGGTCAG and reverse primer GTGGAGCAGCTCAGCAGAC; for the dental samples, forward primer CCCAGGAGGCCCAGGCTGACTTTG and reverse primer TCTGCCGATCCGTGCCTCCAG. Microsatellites and corresponding primers were identified on the National Center for Biotechnology Information Web site (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and the University of California Santa Cruz Genome Browser Web site (http://genome.ucsc.edu). Simple repeats were identified with the use of the latter Web site and were designed accordingly for the specific region. Sequencing and fragment analysis were carried out according to standard protocols on a DNA analyzer (ABI 3730, Applied Biosystems). Sequences were compared with the published template (accession number, NM_003977) with the use of the Mutation Surveyor, version 3.20 (SoftGenetics), and microsatellite data were analyzed with the use of GeneMarker, version 1.85 (SoftGenetics). The March 2006 assembly (NCBI36/hg18) of the human genome was used for localization of the markers (Fig. 1 in the Supplementary Appendix). Data from all available family members, including several subjects from the consanguineous family (Family D), were used to establish phase and disease-associated haplotype.

To estimate the time since the common ancestor of the mutant AIP allele in the four families and in the index patient, we applied coalescent theory, which is a retrospective model of gene genealogies for a sample from a population with a defined demographic history. Coalescent simulations were performed assuming a neutral locus in a random-mating population of 200,000
chromosomes. (Other assumed population sizes that ranged from 2000 to 2 million chromosomes were tested, and the results were similar.) We used two different data sets to calculate the genetic distances for our area of interest. The Rutgers Combined Linkage–Physical Map combines genotype data from the Centre d’Etude du Polymorphisme Humain (Paris) and from deCODE (Reykjavik, Iceland) pedigrees, as well as sequence-based positional information; we used the sex-averaged genetic distance across our region. We also used population-based methods of estimating recombination rates from phase 2 HapMap data (all populations combined, http://hapmap.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/downloads/recombination/latest/rates). Taking each estimate in turn, and assuming a microsatellite mutation rate of 0.001 per generation, we calculated that the total rate of recombination plus mutation at all five microsatellite loci and the 304 codon of AIP was 0.0224 (Rutgers) or 0.0165 (HapMap) per generation. We used the method described by Donnelly and colleagues to estimate the time to the most recent ancestral gene copy, given the genotyping data. To investigate whether the four families carry the c.910C→T mutation by common descent, we typed seven microsatellites distal to and seven proximal to AIP in subjects from the four living families and from the index patient (Fig. 3, and Fig. 1 in the Supplementary Appendix). We found a single common haplotype in a region between microsatellite markers Chr11-64-TG-110 and D11S987 spanning 2.68 megabases (Mb) shared among all five lineages. The shared haplotype might extend from Chr11-64-AC-110 to Chr11-67-TG-107, covering 3.50 Mb, but to be conservative, we used the minimal shared region (2.68 Mb) in our calculations. We also typed these microsatellites in a sporadic case of gigantism in a patient from India who carried the c.910C→T mutation. This patient did not share the common haplotype found in the four Irish families. Since the validated microsatellites are highly polymorphic in the common region (heterozygosity scores between 0.58 and 0.82, National Center for Biotechnology Information [http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov]), the sharing of the five microsatellite alleles and the c.910C→T mutation in AIP strongly supports the hypothesis of a single, shared haplotype spanning this genomic region and carrying the same founder c.910C→T mutation.

According to the Rutgers genetic map, the genetic distance across the minimal region (2.68 Mb, including the five markers shared by the index patient and the four families) is 1.74 centimorgans (cM), whereas the population-based HapMap data results in a map distance of 1.15 cM. Both estimates are subject to some uncertainty, and the true map distance probably lies between these estimates. Given the observation of a shared haplotype, the coalescent-theory estimate of the time since the most recent common ancestor is 57 generations (95% credible interval, 15 to 132), or between 375 and 3300 years ago, assuming a mean intergenerational time of 25 years, based on the Rutgers genetic-map distance, and 66 generations (95% credible interval, 17 to 150), or between 425 and 3750 years ago, based on the HapMap estimate. With the condition that the present generation includes at least four carriers, and with the use of the above distribution of values for the number of generations since the mutation arose, forward simulations indicate that the expected number of carriers in one generation would be 68 (95% credible interval, 5 to 350) and 90 (95% credible interval, 5 to 500), according to the two genetic-map estimates, respectively.

RESULTS

Two teeth were removed from the skull of the index patient. After the extraction of DNA, polymerase-chain-reaction amplification and sequencing were performed on both tooth samples for the region flanking the R304 site in four different reactions. All eight products contained the heterozygous c.910C→T change.

To investigate whether the four families carry the c.910C→T mutation by common descent, we typed seven microsatellites distal to and seven proximal to AIP in subjects from the four living families and from the index patient (Fig. 3, and Fig. 1 in the Supplementary Appendix). We found a single common haplotype in a region between microsatellite markers Chr11-64-TG-110 and D11S987 spanning 2.68 megabases (Mb) shared among all five lineages. The shared haplotype might extend from Chr11-64-AC-110 to Chr11-67-TG-107, covering 3.50 Mb, but to be conservative, we used the minimal shared region (2.68 Mb) in our calculations. We also typed these microsatellites in a sporadic case of gigantism in a patient from India who carried the c.910C→T mutation. This patient did not share the common haplotype found in the four Irish families. Since the validated microsatellites are highly polymorphic in the common region (heterozygosity scores between 0.58 and 0.82, National Center for Biotechnology Information [http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov]), the sharing of the five microsatellite alleles and the c.910C→T mutation in AIP strongly supports the hypothesis of a single, shared haplotype spanning this genomic region and carrying the same founder c.910C→T mutation.

According to the Rutgers genetic map, the genetic distance across the minimal region (2.68 Mb, including the five markers shared by the index patient and the four families) is 1.74 centimorgans (cM), whereas the population-based HapMap data results in a map distance of 1.15 cM. Both estimates are subject to some uncertainty, and the true map distance probably lies between these estimates. Given the observation of a shared haplotype, the coalescent-theory estimate of the time since the most recent common ancestor is 57 generations (95% credible interval, 15 to 132), or between 375 and 3300 years ago, assuming a mean intergenerational time of 25 years, based on the Rutgers genetic-map distance, and 66 generations (95% credible interval, 17 to 150), or between 425 and 3750 years ago, based on the HapMap estimate. With the condition that the present generation includes at least four carriers, and with the use of the above distribution of values for the number of generations since the mutation arose, forward simulations indicate that the expected number of carriers in one generation would be 68 (95% credible interval, 5 to 350) and 90 (95% credible interval, 5 to 500), according to the two genetic-map estimates, respectively.
We identified a common haplotype that includes the c.910C→T, p.R304X AIP mutation in four families with familial isolated pituitary adenoma and in a giant from 18th-century Northern Ireland; this haplotype was absent in a sporadic case of gigantism in a patient from outside the British Isles who also carries this hotspot mutation. We suggest that these Irish patients with gigantism, acromegaly, and prolactinoma, including the index patient, carry the c.910C→T mutation through a common ancestry. Furthermore, coalescent analysis allowed us to estimate that the mutant allele was carried by an ancestor around 57 to 66 generations ago (1425 to 1650 years ago), although the 95% credible intervals for this estimate are wide. The number of carriers of this haplotype in the generations of family members who are now alive could be several hundred.

We describe 14 mutation-positive subjects: 10 with growth hormone–secreting adenomas, 2 with prolactin-secreting adenomas, 1 with mixed growth hormone– and prolactin-secreting adenoma, and 1 with a microadenoma but no apparent hormonal abnormalities. Eight of these 10 subjects had childhood-onset disease (7 had gigantism and 1 had a macroprolactinoma), 4 had a history compatible with pituitary apoplexy, and 3 were identified as a result of clinical screening.

**DISCUSSION**

**Figure 3. Microsatellite Data for the Index Patient, Mutation Carriers from the Four Contemporary Irish Families, and a Non-Irish Patient with Sporadic Gigantism.**

For the four Irish families, the microsatellite data shown are for mutation carriers from the eldest available generation. The green area is the conserved region around the R304X mutation among the five lineages; the red bands represent the mutant c.910T allele, and the black bands represent the wild-type c.910C allele. Numbers next to the identification number of the microsatellite represent the length in base pairs of the microsatellite assay products. Data in red denote findings that differ from those in the other families. For the index patient, we were unable to infer the phase of alleles 95 and 101 at Chr11-64-AC-110 and of alleles 104 and 106 at D11S1883 because none of the other patients studied carried these alleles. The minimal common region for the index patient and the four families is 2.68 megabases (Mb), which is equivalent to 1.74 centimorgans (cM) according to the Rutgers map and 1.15 cM according to the HapMap.
of carrier subjects. Pituitary adenomas do not become manifest in every person who carries the heterozygous AIP mutation, a finding that is consistent with previous observations. In the four families, we identified 51 carriers of the mutation but only 14 affected subjects. The level of penetrance, however, is difficult to establish, since we lack genetic and clinical data for all the carriers and for the subjects who are at risk for inheriting the mutation. Furthermore, there is an ascertainment bias for the presentation of affected subjects; thus, the true penetrance is probably lower than can be predicted from the available data.

On the basis of these studies, we believe that the index patient, and possibly the giant twin brothers from the neighboring village who lived contemporaneously (if indeed they were related to the index patient [Fig. 1D]), carried the same founder mutation as the four contemporary families of Northern Irish origin whom we have evaluated.

Gigantism and acromegaly have been described since ancient times. Wier provided the first accurate medical description of acromegaly in the 16th century, whereas the name of the disease and the identification of the full clinical picture originate from Pierre Marie. The link between a pituitary adenoma and clinical acromegaly and gigantism was not recognized until the second part of the 19th century. Acromegaly was initially considered to be an acquired disease, whereas gigantism was considered to be a congenital disorder. With data emerging on AIP mutations in patients with familial acromegaly, it appears that this old dogma might, ultimately, have some truth, since we have now shown that one of the most famous giants in the medical literature did indeed harbor an inherited predisposition to the disease and that the mutation responsible for this predisposition persists in the contemporary population of Ireland.
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